

**REMARKS**

The present Supplemental Amendment has been submitted to present the Abstract of the Invention on a Separate Page and also to clarify the parenthetical designations of the Claims, as suggested by the Examiner. Thus, upon entry of the present amendment the Claims under consideration are Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, and 11-25, per the Examiner's remarks at paragraph 1 of the Response to Amendment attached to the Notice of Non-compliant Amendment.

Responsive to the Examiner's request for clarification of the Applicants' position at page 16 bridging page 17 of their Amendment C, Applicants refer to their detailed explanation of terms in Amendment C and further believe that any remaining questions of usage could be more clearly answered with respect to specific instances of alleged unclarity. To issue a general statement would likely not clarify the record in any significant way. Applicants again reiterate that the Claims are related to processes and that a person having ordinary skill in the art of automated garment manufacture would understand the process-driven limitations and thus would have no trouble understanding the meaning and import of the claim limitation verbiage.

Request For Telephonic Interview

The Examiner is requested to call Applicants' attorney to cite any specific instances of confusion or otherwise discuss any further problems in order to clarify the record of prosecution. The Examiner is further invited to suggest solutions in defining the present invention in order to expedite the case towards allowance before issuing a final Office Action.

Favorable consideration is requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Roland W. Norris  
Reg. No. 32,799

Pauley Petersen & Erickson  
2800 West Higgins Road  
Suite 365  
Hoffman Estates, Illinois 60195  
(847) 490-1400  
FAX (847) 490-1403